

Cozy corporatism

More than 250 executives from a variety of firms have made their transition to the Obama Regime; those from Google the most noticeable.

It's been a two-way street, however, with 22 White House officials going to Google and 31 Google execs leaving to work for the White House. The ex-Google execs were appointed to federal advisory boards by President Obama. The 22 government officials who joined Google had been involved in security areas (including the Dept. of Defense).

A cozy relationship exists between Google and the White House. *Cozy corporatism* is alive and well in the Obama Regime, certainly a tradition Hillary Clinton would be happy to continue.

Offshore shenanigans

The "Twitterverse" was recently used by leftists at the United Nations to influence a U.S. presidential election.

Tweeting "End Trump" to eight million Americans living abroad, the UN made an attempt to swing the election against GOP candidate Donald Trump.

Our favorite fascist George Soros, through his UN contacts, was the reported promoter. The tweet was pulled 20 minutes after it became public, the UN flatly denying they had anything to do with it... of course.

Monday Morning Newswire

Non-partisan conservative commentary published by Hoosier Patriots, 8329 Carolwood Court, Evansville, IN 47715-4595, each Monday morning and sent by e-mail to those who subscribe. ©2016 - All Rights Reserved.

HOOSIER PATRIOTS

Jim Bratten

Subscribe through e-mail at:

hpnw.jimb@gmail.com



Redirect attention to the issues, instead of the...

Debate sideshow

The first twenty minutes of the inaugural presidential candidate debate of 2016 contained substance, of a sort. It centered on economic issues; job creation, growth, and prosperity, but no details. It was a draw.

Last Monday night, that first twenty minutes was the high point. After that, Donald Trump seemed to hand Hillary Clinton the advantage. He was on defense; a focused offense was nowhere to be found.

Gloating over a growing list of endorsements and listing them during a debate won't get him converts. His stalwarts are with him; they don't need to be convinced.

When questioned about "Stop and Frisk," don't list endorsements from police departments all over the country or the FOP – relate to lives saved and communities rescued from systemic crime. Tell Lester Holt to check his facts – like Terry vs. Ohio, 1968 where SCOTUS ruled that the policy of "stop and frisk" was constitutional. One activist judge since then, whose ruling was thrown out, doesn't count. Don't fall for "gotcha" questions from a moderator who's in the state-run media, Clinton's corner.

Hillary sat there, smug arrogance plastered across her face, letting Trump ramble on, burying his own message in non-descript, constant repetition. Mr. Trump needs to be told, emphatically, that everything is NOT about "bad trade deals" or "China."

He needs to remember that Hillary Clinton is a "target rich environment" – her 30 years in public life has probably averaged one scandal per year. Her years in the U.S. Senate were uneventful; the four years spent as secretary of state were a complete disaster. All this is a matter of public record, you just need to expose her misdeeds. Corruption breeds more corruption and, when one's life is consumed by graft and dishonesty, it's all they know. Her malfeasance while at State – e-mails, servers, the Clinton Foundation relationship (pay-to-play) – are all *huge*... and fair game.

Maybe I was expecting too much from a novice at one-on-one debates, but Trump missed opportunities in Mrs. Clinton's dark resume; her diabolical ideology and record of failure (and that of her former boss). A small sampling of topics unexplored were: Supreme Court nominees; her plans to explode the numbers of unvetted Syrian refugees allowed to enter our country; lawless executive amnesty for illegal aliens now here; her intentions to crush fossil fuel (coal, oil and natural gas); Obamacare; and her assaults on the First and Second Amendments (more to follow). None of these will be broached by a leftist moderator; they have to be forced out to debate.

Then there are all the scandals...

He must concentrate on those things the American people have told him concern them the most. The voters could care less about his tax returns or whether he was mean to a Miss Universe winner 20 years ago. This is about saving *their* country.

He cannot fall for Mrs. Clinton's side show of snake oil and cheap tricks. This is his election to lose. If he bothers to even acknowledge Hillary's slights and slams, he will be giving her what she wants.

Trump needs to remember that this race is NOT about him, his accomplishments or massive wealth. Our country is teetering on a precipice and that's what this is all about: *pulling* it back from the edge.

Capitalism vs. Socialism/Fascism: he wants to pull the nation back from the edge; Hillary wants to push it over into the abyss.

His job for the next 36 days is to clarify that vision and drive it home in the minds of his countrymen. The Clinton Corruption Machine must be kept out of the White House. It's that simple.

We Be People



On September 29, addressing members of the U.S. Army at Fort Lee, Virginia, the president once again downplayed the threat of the Islamic State, pointing the blame away from Islam and the radical hatred that springs from it. As before, he disrespected America and the *values* our military holds dear.

But as the president downplays the threats from Islam, he does double damage to the spirit of our armed forces personnel by attempting to undo the faith tradition of the U.S. military that has helped it deal with threats like radical Islam in the past.

The traditional reliance on faith to pull our servicemen through tough times has served us well since Valley Forge. Today, however, it is not allowed to flourish.

President Obama's plan to "transform" our military is at odds with our traditions. His plan doesn't involve improving the combat readiness of our military but to turn it into a God-free culture; a faith-free environment where social experimentation can advance.

Over its 241 years the U.S. Armed Forces has been a foundation in our society. It has been an admired institution where our young mature into respected men and women, in service to something larger than themselves. It is, as some have described it, a fortress where founding conservative values can be preserved and an appreciation for the concepts of "duty, honor and country" can flourish and be passed on.

President Obama and others who share his progressive world-view know they cannot transform the American culture without removing faith (religious freedom) from the military. Our armed forces are now the target for their radical social agenda, transforming our military into a haven for those who profess no allegiance to American tradition or our military heritage of faith.

The result of this assault on the tradition of faith in our armed forces has lowered morale to an all-time low, increased suicides and reduced enlistments. Combat readiness has been adversely affected, hampering the prime mission of our military – to defend our nation. It will be tragic for our nation if men and women in our military are denied the basic right to openly practice their faith.



There are no 'lone wolves,' only...

'Known' wolves

The Islamist attacks that occurred on Saturday, September 17, 2016 in Minnesota, New York, and two sites in New Jersey drew another yawn from the Obama administration and its nominee for president.

In the east coast incidents, the Islamist attacker is a 28 year-old Afghani, a naturalized U.S. citizen named Ahmad Khan Rahami. He was captured after a battle with local police in Linden, New Jersey.

The St. Cloud, Minnesota Islamic jihadist was a security officer, in uniform when he attacked mall shoppers. Born in Kenya, the 22 year-old Somali named Dahir Adan asked one shopper if he was Muslim before he shouted "Allah" and slashed at him with his blade. The Islamic State claimed credit for the attack in St. Cloud, where 9 people were stabbed.

Reaction from the Left was the usual indifference. The "JV Team" concept of the Islamic State as sold by President Obama to the nation still holds. The lackadaisical "it's not happening here" attitude remains firmly in place, even after FBI cases in all 50 states.

Hillary Clinton's responses, most of them given the Monday following the attacks, were as one would expect:

"There are millions of law-abiding Muslims all over the world... we need a better visa system." This brush-off comes from the lady who, for four years, headed the department that issued and controlled those visas and their legitimacy. Hillary intimated that the Muslims across our nation, and the world, are the *true* victims – of Islamophobia in the U.S. She then doubled down on her "hate speech, bigotry and discrimination rampant in America" spiel, her "deplorables hate all Muslims" obvious.

"It's important we continue to build up *trust* between law enforcement and the Muslim community... we need an 'intelligence surge' in the Middle East to identify them before they get here."

She blamed Donald Trump for the bomb attacks in New Jersey and New York, all due to his "hateful rhetoric," saying Trump's comments provided "tools for the terrorists." Ah, it's the *speech* again...

Comments from the president were more of the same. Addressing the recent attacks in New York City and New Jersey, President Obama described the latest Islamist attacker as a "troubled individual." He again credited the cause of the violent attack to "extremism."

At a meeting with the Iraqi prime minister days after the attack, President Obama commented on "what might have motivated the suspects," reasoning that he was troubled over "individuals who are disturbed in some fashion to take action against the American people." But, he opined, "We are an open society..."

White House press secretary Josh Earnest joined in the effort to muddy the water, stating, "We are in a narrative battle with ISIL." (And those "narratives" can turn nasty when people are beheaded...)

After Mrs. Clinton's comments on the attacks, where she again avoided assignment of a purpose for the barbarism or a name for our enemy, an op-ed in the *Jerusalem Post* observed, "Can you imagine Winston Churchill or FDR refusing to identify the Nazis by name for fear of bolstering their recruiting?" The *Post* continued, "...Clinton went so far as to say that jihadis are 'praying' for Trump to win (as if she were privy to jihadi prayers). What complete nonsense."

Addressing the ideology behind the attacks, Andrew C. McCarthy said this in *National Review*: "There are no 'lone wolves' because the wolves are part of a large pack... a fundamentalist Islamic anti-Western movement that has millions of adherents... some percentage of which will always be willing to take up arms and kill for the cause."

Leftists' indifference to known threats, blaming America for Islamic anger, is dangerous. The "wolves" are *known* – and connected by fundamentalist Islam.

Enough!
READ THE CONSTITUTION